

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/563,797	01/09/2006	Naoki Yamamoto	2005_1975A	5364		
513 WENDEROT	7590 06/15/200 H, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			POGMORE	POGMORE, TRAVIS D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2436				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/15/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,797	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Travis Pogmore	2436	

	Travis Pogmore	2436	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \( \) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) \( \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.13(e). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration late of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office slart may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF AFFERM.  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t     (a) They raise new issues that would require further con     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in better a present a compared to place the application in the present a compared to place the application in the present a compared to place the application in the present and the present accordance to the present additional claims without canceling the present accordance to the present accordance to the present accordance to the present and the present accordance to the presen			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	all prior art and non-statutory subj	ect matter rejections.	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claims (s) (or will be) as follows: Claims(s) allowed: Claims(s) objected to: Claims(s) rejected: Claims(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
(Name of Manager 1)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436

Continuation of 13. Other: With Applicant's amendment, claims 35, 37-53, 55-59, 61 and 62 are now in condition for allowance.

However, Applicant's amendment fails to overcome the objection of claim 60. This claim is objected to because of informalities in the seventh paragraph, which reads "each of said plurality recording apparatuses belongs to either the first category or the second category." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as only categories for reproduction apparatuses was previously recited. For purposes of examination in thas been assumed that this should read "each of said plurality OF REPRODUCTION apparatuses longs to either the first category or the second category," which is also established by the limitations of the sixth paragraph of claim 60.